

Medically unexplained Symptoms (MUS) and Iatrogenesis

(Study day with Camden GP's, April 2017)

Dr Julian Stern FRCPsych

**Consultant Psychiatrist in Psychotherapy
And
Director of Adult and Forensic Services**

Structure of talk

1. What is iatrogenesis ?
2. What contributes to it ?
3. What do we know about MUS ?
4. How to try to minimize inappropriate investigations, procedures and prescribing with this population group ?

jcpmh-mus-guide.pdf x +

← → ↻ | jcpmh.info/wp-content/uploads/jcpmh-mus-guide.pdf

🏠 ☆ ≡ ✂ 🗑 ⋮

Joint Commissioning Panel
for Mental Health

www.jcpmh.info

Guidance for commissioners of
services for people with
medically unexplained symptoms

Practical
mental health
commissioning

Couldn't share 'Screenshot
2017-0...52(2).png'
You're out of Dropbox space!
Dropbox

Support-800-998-8274 🔊 🔍 📅 21:24
19/04/2017

1. What is iatrogenesis ?

- **Iatrogenesis** (from the Greek for "brought forth by the healer") refers to any effect on a person, resulting from any activity of one or more persons acting as healthcare professionals or promoting products or services as beneficial to health, that does not support a goal of the person affected.
- Some iatrogenic effects are clearly defined and easily recognized, such as a [complication](#) following a surgical procedure (e.g., [lymphedema](#) as a result of breast cancer surgery). Less obvious ones, such as complex drug interactions, may require significant investigation to identify.
- Causes of iatrogenesis include:
 - [side effects](#) of possible [drug interactions](#)
 - complications arising from a procedure or treatment
 - [medical error](#)
 - [negligence](#)
 - unexamined instrument design
 - anxiety or annoyance in the physician or treatment provider in relation to medical procedures or treatments
 - unnecessary treatment for profit
- Unlike an [adverse event](#), an iatrogenic effect is not always harmful. For example, a scar created by surgery is said to be iatrogenic even though it does not represent improper care and may not be troublesome.
- Professionals who may cause harm to patients include [physicians](#), [pharmacists](#), [nurses](#), [dentists](#), [psychologists](#), [psychiatrists](#), [medical laboratory scientists](#) and [therapists](#). Iatrogenesis can also result from [complementary and alternative medicine](#) treatments.
- (from Wikipedia !)

What contributes to iatrogenesis?

- * 1. “Clinical iatrogenesis”-refers to Direct ways in which doctors and other HP’s cause or prolong disease in their patients
- * 2. “Social iatrogenesis” is a term used for illness created by or prolonged by wider socio political inputs . (Some Patient support groups may encourage inappropriate illness behaviour and/or beliefs)

What contributes to iatrogenesis?

- * Factors within the patient
- * Factors within the doctor
- * Risk of collusion ?

What do we know about MUS?

- * MUS are common:
- * 30% of pts @ Acute hospital General medical OPD had no medical Dx to account for their symptom, while a further 22% had doubtful medical Dx
- * All medical specialties have them-often prefaced by “Non”- non cardiac chest pain, non epileptic seizures, also IBS, CFS, Fybromyalgia, Repetitive strain injury

What do we know about MUS?

Predisposing factors-

- * Predisposing factors-
- * Female gender
- * Childhood experience of parental ill health (esp paternal)
- * Childhood abdominal pain
- * Lack of care in childhood

- * High rates of Personality Disorder, and onset of MUS often predated by a negative life event

What do we know about MUS ?

Perpetuating factors

- * Possible precipitating event e.g. muscle ache after unaccustomed exercise... Mechanisms may become chronic e.g. secondary gain

What do we know about MUS?

- * Referrals:
- * GP may feel under pressure
- * Pt who sees multiple specialists may receive conflicting messages
- * Time pressure to do refer on; prescribe; order tests
- * How to refer on to a mental health practitioner-patients may resist/resent. Close liaison essential-how possible is this for you ?
- * Joint meetings ?

3 types of explanations

- * Reassurance:
- * Very important-patients have described 3 types of doctors' explanations....
- * Rejecting
- * Colluding
- * Empowering

What do we know about MUS?

- * Positive initial meeting with GP predicts for fewer subsequent visits
- * “Positive factors” include exploration of the psychosocial history; reassurance about any negative test results; reassurance about the DX
- * Negative initial meeting leads to repeat consulting

What do we know about MUS?

- * Factors in the patient leading to high risk of iatrogenesis:
 - * (My clinical experience-not EBM) Where the patient suffers from significant lack of trust, highly anxious, previously misdiagnosed (or family member with this), or has a personality that is exasperating to the clinician...more likely to be prescribed meds, or sent for SI's
- * Factors in the doctor leading to high risk of iatrogenesis:
 - * (My clinical experience-not EBM). Untuned to the patient-disinterested in psychological factors/patients' personal history.
 - * Specific factors on the day... too much, too little time, specific worries; specific problems with the particular patient (identification)

Specific problems with the particular patient : Biofeedback nurses at St Mark's Hospital

- Disgust
- (Over) identification with the patient
- Sadness
- A wish to get rid of the patient
- A wish to rescue the patient
- Worries about boundaries - phone calls, presents, etc.
- Feelings of impotence

Case example: Mrs A

- ALL 3 CASES BELOW HAVE BEEN HEAVILY DISGUISED WITH PERSONAL DETAILS ALTERED TO ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY
- 55 y. o woman-Mrs A
- Cannot defecate normally, needs > 1 hour in the toilet

Case example: Mrs A

- Has already had a laparoscopy for pelvic pain
- Nurse said there was “a bizarre feeling in the room”
- Patient has very “strange beliefs” about her body
- Suspicious about the nurse writing notes, or entering data on the computer
- Diagnosis: paranoid psychosis
- Outcome: psychiatric referral, alert the gastroenterology team and her GP

Case example: Mr B

- 51 y.o. accountant, lives with his 80 y.o. mother
- Complains of incomplete evacuation and anal pain
- Previously he has seen multiple doctors and no one recommends surgery for him
- Arrives an hour early with an Excel spreadsheet documenting number of bowel actions/day, length of time on the toilet, their consistency, estimated length etc.

Case example: Mr B

- Typed report from him, 15 pages of his symptoms, when they started & letters from various specialists
- He is “very polite but very persistent”

Case example: Mr B

Follow up appointment:

- He says he may look for surgery privately to correct “the problem”
- (Previous surgical opinions - there is no surgically remediable problem)

Case example: Mr B

Supervision discussion:

- His pervasive wish for control
- He is controlling ++
- He may well be primarily focussing on his bowels and defecation, with a relative underdevelopment of intimate personal relationships

Case example: Mr B

Diagnosis & management:

- Diagnosis: Obsessive Compulsive disorder (OCD) with an obsessional focus on defecation
- Management: Not for surgery
- Outcome: psychological or psychiatric management (anti-depressant) of his OCD
- Try to take control in the session and not allow him to dominate

Case example: Mrs C

- A 63 y.o. woman in a wheelchair
- 17 year history of rectal pain
- Wants something to help her chronic pain

Case example: Mrs C

Complex History of trauma and abuse:

- 17: gives up baby for adoption at birth
- 20-40: RELATIONSHIP WITH violent man, has 5 children with him. 3 CHILDREN ARE TAKEN INTO CARE
- 35: hysterectomy-menorrhagia
- 44: divorce
- 46: rectal pain starts
- 50 onwards: drinks excessive alcohol (vodka) – now in a mental health hostel, on antipsychotic and anti-depressant medication

Case example: Mrs C

On examination:

- Tearful, angry, obese
- Complains of pain everywhere
- Has had pain since her baby was taken away age 17. Of her 5 live children, 2 are drug addicts, one has a psychotic disorder , multiple losses, traumas, etc
- Cries about the guilt of the adoption – says she has never been able to talk openly about it
- Diagnosis: long term depression, with more recent alcohol abuse
- Outcome: referral to psychiatric services for her alcohol abuse and depression

Key points from Commissioning Guidelines

- * Without appropriate treatment, **outcomes for many patients with MUS are poor**. While evidence-based treatments for patients with MUS exist, they are rarely available.
- * Appropriate services MUS should be commissioned in primary care, community, day services, accident and emergency (A&E) departments and inpatient facilities. This would enable patients to access services appropriate for the severity and complexity of their problems.
- * In addition to a range of MUS services, **a new kind of multidisciplinary approach is required**, bringing together professionals with skills in general practice, medicine, nursing, psychology/psychotherapy, psychiatry, occupational therapy and physiotherapy. All healthcare professionals should integrate both physical and mental health approaches in their care.
- * **Education and training are essential** to ensure that all healthcare professionals develop and maintain the skills to work effectively with patients experiencing MUS.
- * Implementation of appropriate services would result in **improved outcomes for patients and substantial cost-savings for the healthcare system**.

- * So...
- * Work closely with MH professional both for your patients and yourselves. That's why TAP was commissioned. That's why Icope and/or TAP are in every GP practice in the borough !

Key References

Carrington A, Rock B and Stern J (2012): [Psychoanalytic thinking in primary care: The Tavistock Psychotherapy Consultation model](#) . [Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy](#) , vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 102-120

Hard E, Rock B and Stern J (2015) Paradigms, Politics and Pragmatics: Psychotherapy in Primary Care in City and Hackney - a new model for the NHS. Part 2 . [Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy](#) 29, 2 : 139-159

Joint Commissioning panel for Mental Health (2017) Guidance for commissioners of Services for people with MUS

Page L and Wessely S (2003) MUS: exacerbating factors in the doctorpatient encounter. [J R Soc Med](#) 96: 223-227

Parsonage, M., Hard, E. & Rock, B. (2014) [Managing patients with complex needs: Evaluation of the City and Hackney Primary Care Psychotherapy Consultation Service](#). Centre for Mental Health, UK

Stern J, Hard E and Rock B (2015) Paradigms, Politics and Pragmatics: Psychotherapy in Primary Care in City and Hackney - a new model for the NHS. Part 1 . [Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy](#) 29, 2 : 117-138

Stern J, Yon K, Kent T (2016) Taking mental health a step beyond primary care. [Health Services Journal \(HSJ\)](#) 10 June 2016